

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/593,611	Applicant(s) TREMAUDANT ET AL.	
	Examiner TERRANCE KENERLY	Art Unit 2834	

All Participants:

(1) TERRANCE KENERLY.

(2) Paul Sorkin.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2009

Time: 10:15 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

rejection of claim 1 and 19

Claims discussed:

1, 17, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

USPGPub 2004/0021381 A1 and US 5,514,924

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's Amendment : Examiner suggested the add the language "stacked in a direction parallel to the axial length of the rotor" to claim 19 and attorney Paul Sorkin agreed. A possible cancellation of claim 16 was discussed as well.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Quyen Leung/
SPE< Art Unit 2834

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)